



Facsimile Cover Sheet

To: Mr. George Tenet c/o Bill Harlow

Fax: [redacted]

(b)(6)

From: [redacted]

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

**Publications Review Board
Central Intelligence Agency**

[redacted] (b)(3) CIAAct

Pages (Including Cover Sheet): 8 26

Date: 13 Mar 07

☒ For Your Records ☒ For Review ☐ As You Requested ☐ Please Reply

Comments: _____

Mailing Addresses:

(U.S. Postal Service Delivery)

CIA Publications Review Board

Washington DC 20505

(b)(3) CIAAct

(FedEx or UPS Delivery)

[redacted] (b)(3) CIAAct

Publications Review Board

(b)(3) CIAAct

Central Intelligence Agency



Washington, D.C. 20505

Publications Review Board

Washington, D.C. 20505

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(3) CIAAct

13 March 2007

Mr. George Tenet
C/O Mr. William Harlow

Via Facsimile

(b)(6)

Dear Mr. Tenet:

As we briefly discussed this morning, this letter represents the Publications Review Board's determinations regarding the pending portions of the galley proofs for your manuscript entitled "At the Center of the Storm" that we had identified in our 12 March 2007 letter to you.

During our review we identified the following substantive (not merely editorial, grammatical, or otherwise minor) changes to the text that the Board had previously reviewed. The Board has reviewed these changes and has determined that the changed material does not include classified information. Therefore, the Board has approved the changes identified immediately below for publication:

(b)(3) NatSecAct

(b)(3) NatSecAct

The Board has reviewed the information identified immediately below and has determined that it cannot be approved for publication because it contains information that is currently and properly classified, the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to cause harm to national security, and, therefore, must be deleted prior to publication. In some instances, we have included proposed alternative language that would make the referenced text unclassified. Please note that a few of these required changes pertain to information that the Board had previously approved for publication. However, our review of the galley proofs has provided us with a more complete picture and additional context than what we had had when we previously reviewed and approved this information. Therefore, as a result of our review of the galley proofs, we have identified additional changes that are required in order to render the information unclassified. Unfortunately, this is a consequence of piecemeal review. If you make the deletions and/or additions to the text on the pages noted below, the Board will approve the following pages for publication:

(b)(3) NatSecAct

(b)(3) NatSecAct

After making these required changes, we ask that you forward to us copies of the modified pages for review, and, when we confirm that all classified information has been removed from the text, we will approve these modified pages for publication.

Enclosed is a copy of the galley proofs of those sections we have noted above. On these pages we have clearly indicated: (1) the text that must be deleted from your original version (such text is blacked out on the pages listed) because the information is classified and must be removed before publication, and (2) the text that is formally approved for publication (including additional, substitute text, that has been mutually agreed upon and approved).

Having reviewed the galley proofs you provided on 9 March 2007 and pending our confirmation that you have made the changes noted above, the Board will approve the following chapters for publication:

(b)(3) NatSecAct


If you add material to or change the text the Board has approved for publication, you must submit these additions or changes to us before giving them to your publisher or anyone else. In such a case, please mark or otherwise clearly indicate the new material so we can expedite our review. Additional material that must be submitted include, but is not limited to, photographs, photograph captions, illustrations, diagrams, tables, charts, or maps.

(b)(3) NatSecAct

As you are aware, if you disagree with the Board's determination, you have several options. First, if you believe the Board made an incorrect or improper decision, you may ask the Board to reconsider its initial determination. Include any information or explanation of the facts that you believe the Board should know. Second, you may formally appeal the Board's decision. Such appeals go to the Agency's Associate Deputy Director for a final Agency decision. If you wish to appeal, you must do so within 30 days of the Board's decision. The appeal must be in writing and must be sent to the Board's Chairman. Appeal documentation must include the material intended for publication and any supporting material you would like the Associate Deputy Director to consider.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions or if we can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,



(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

Chairman, Publications Review Board

